Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/067,451	MILLER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
. —	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1615
Il Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Lakshmi S. Channavajjala.	(3)	·
(2) Elizabeth Pietrowski.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 January 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Ms. Pietrowski informed the examiner that a response to the nor continuation application and that the instant application has been	n-final action dated 6-16-06 has n	
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
, N		
Jarada		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)